Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/719,900	KOCH, HUBERT
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sikyin Ip	1742
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) <u>Sikyin Ip</u> .	(3)	
(2) Gregory P. LaPointe.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>17 November 2004</u> .		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representativ	/e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)[∑ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: All.		
Identification of prior art discussed: All.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. of	g)🂢 was not reached. h)	N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>*</u> .	nature of what was agreed to	o if an agreement was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	copy of the amendments that	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse s	e last Office action has alread THE MAILING DATE OF TH OF THE SUBSTANCE OF T	y been filed, APPLICANT IS IS INTERVIEW SUMMARY
Claims appear to define on	in usin art	
Arguments to be greente criticalets of Be ? Ver benifit abtained over	el regarding the	il
benift abtained our	grier art.	
·		\
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sig	nature, if required